

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450

MAILED

Continental Teves, Inc.
One Continental Drive
Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326-1581

JAN 21 2011 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Uli JOOS et al.

Application No. 10/582,460

Filed: 12 June 2006

Atty. Docket No.: TM010

DECISION GRANTING PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed 6 January 2011, to revive the above-identified application ("Application").

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The Application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed 13 May 2008 ("outstanding Office action"), which set a shortened statutory reply period of three (3) months. No extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. The application thus became abandoned on 14 August 2008, and notification was mailed 30 January 2009.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a Statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) by including (1) a reply in the form of a Response to the outstanding Office action, (2) a petition fee of \$1620.00, and (3) a Statement of unintentional delay. The reply to the outstanding Office action is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant Petition was given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the Application. Further, it is not apparent whether the signer of the Petition was in a position to have firsthand knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of the delay. See, 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and

Procedure: Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (10 October 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (21 October 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, Petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, Petitioner must notify the Office.

General inquiries relating to this decision should be directed to Robert DeWitty, Petitions Examiner, Office of Petitions (571-272-6051), or, if unavailable, the undersigned at 571-272-7099.

The application file will be referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2836 for further action on the filed Response.

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions